

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,504	01/30/2001		Gee-Kung Chang	APP 1349-US	9180
8156	7590	12/13/2004	EXAMINER		INER
JOHN P. O	BANION	1	NEGASH, KINFE MICHAEL		
O'BANION & RITCHEY LLP 400 CAPITOL MALL SUITE 1550				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SACRAME			2633		

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/772,504	CHANG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kinfe-Michael Negash	2633					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		-					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ived in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not recei	ved.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2</u>. 	6) Other:	l Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/772,504

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 1-4, and 6 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of any one of U.S. Application/Control Number: 09/772,504

Art Unit: 2633

Patent No. 6,757,495; 6,757,496; and 6,757,549. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between the claims is in breadth of scope.

Page 3

- 4. Claims 1, 9,17, and 22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 31-33 of U.S. Patent No. 6,819,666. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between the claims is in breadth of scope.
- 5. Claims 1-5,9-13,17-18, and 22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5,17,22-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,757,497. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between the claims is in breadth of scope.
- 6. Claims 1-2,9-10,17-18, and 22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 28-31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,754,450. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between the claims is in breadth of scope.

Art Unit: 2633

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The art of record are cited for their teachings of multicasting.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kinfe-Michael Negash whose telephone number is (571)272-3027. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571)272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> michael Negar-Kinfe-Michael Negas

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2633

KN

December 9, 2004